



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/494,514	02/01/2000	Thumpudi Naveen	PU020213	3562	
7590 03/13/2006			EXAMINER		
Joseph S. Tripoli, Patent Operations			STREGE, JOHN B		
Thomson Multimedia Licensing Inc. PO Box 5312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Princeton, NJ 08543-5312			2625		
			DATE MAILED: 03/13/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		App	olication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Author Occasion		09/	494,514	NAVEEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			miner	Art Unit				
			n B. Strege	2625				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of the property of the maximum statusted to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or exten	ILING DATE (37 CFR 1.136(a). Inication. Itory period will appliantly, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUI In no event, however, may y and will expire SIX (6) M the application to become	NICATION. I a reply be timely filed IONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 16 Decem	ber 2005.					
2a)□								
3)□	atters, prosecution as to the	e merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are objected to.							
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or elec	tion requirement.					
Applicat	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 February 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objecti		-	` '				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		•	• • •	` '			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to t	by the Examin	er. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments hav	e been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of			en received in this National	Stage			
4.4	application from the International	•						
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the	e certified copies n	ot received.				
Attachmen	(a)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🗍 Interview	w Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC		Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date	0.450)			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date	ro/SB/08)	5) Notice of Other: _	f Informal Patent Application (PT0 	J-19 <i>2)</i>			

Application/Control Number: 09/494,514 Page 2

Art Unit: 2625

Response to Amendment

The amendment received 12/16/05 has been entered in full. The declaration has been accepted. Due to the amendment the objection of claim 1 has been withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fujisaki et al. USPN 6,011,865 (hereinafter "Fujisaki").

Fujisaki discloses a method of coarse representation of a shape of a visible object in a digital picture comprising the steps of:

segmenting visible objects from the digital picture (col. 4 lines 59-67); extracting a bitmap for an object of interest from the segmented visible objects (col. 5 lines 7-15); and estimating from the bitmap and a display aspect ratio a binding box for the object of interest (paragraph bridging cols 5-6 discloses using a bounding box (see figures 8a-8c)

Art Unit: 2625

which is expanded or contracted as necessary to enclose the extracted bitmap while preserving the display aspect ratio).

Regarding claim 2, Fujisaki discloses estimating in pixel units a set of parameters for the binding box (col6 lines 16-39), and figs 8a-8c are diagrams that are useful in explaining the normalization and scaling of input strokes prior to the extraction of static feature vectors (col. 3 lines 24-26).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujisaki et al. USPN 6,011,865 (hereinafter "Fujisaki") in view of Ichinohe et al. USPN 5,485,531 (hereinafter "Ichinohe").

Regarding claim 3, Fujisaki discloses a method for character recognition in which the feature vector of the object of interest is entered into a neural network in order to recognize the character. Fujisaki does not explicitly disclose that the object of interest is compared to a database of known characters in order to recognize the character. However it is well known that character recognition can also be carried out by comparing the object of interest with a dictionary or database of known objects.

Art Unit: 2625

Ichinohe discloses a character feature extraction device in which a character to be recognized is converted into a vector and the character is compared to a dictionary of known characters in order to find a match (see the abstract). This provides for a character-feature extraction device that can perform high-speed processing even when the character features are extracted three-dimensionally (col. 1 lines 14-21).

Fujisaki and Ichinohe are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor of character recognition.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a dictionary with the system of Fujisaki such as is disclosed by Ichinohe. The motivation for doing so would be to perform high-speed processing. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Fujisaki and Ichinohe to obtain the invention as specified in claim 3.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John B. Strege whose telephone number is (571) 272-7457. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between the hours of 8-5.

Art Unit: 2625

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on (571) 272-7453. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JS

BHAVESH M. MEHTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600